## **Mastery Climate and Autonomy Support**

<u>Mastery climate</u> and <u>autonomy support</u> have been found to promote <u>intrinsically regulated motivation</u> in students during physical education (PE) classes (Ommundsen & Kvalø, 2007). Together with <u>perceived competence</u>, such conditions led to enjoyment in PE and participation in after-school physical activities. <u>Performance climate</u> however was found to facilitate <u>amotivation</u>.

Create a mastery rather than performance climate.

- Focus on learning, self-improvement and participation.
- Set optimally challenging tasks and effort.
- Emphasise effort and progress in feedback instead of interpersonal competition, public evaluation and normative feedback.
- Be satisfied when students learn new skills, improve and cooperate rather than winning others.
- Praise those who show improvement and not just those who performed the best.
- Emphasise that making mistakes is part of learning rather than punishing them.

(Ames, 1992; Nicholls, 1989; Papaioannou, 1995)

Be autonomy supportive rather than controlling.

- Support freedom instead pressurising them.
- Enable and encourage initiative and choice in pupils instead of being directive and authoritarian.
- Share in their perspectives when solving problems or offering advice.

(Black & Deci, 2000; Reeve, 1998)

## **Key Definitions:**

Mastery climate	An environment that focuses on learning, self-improvement and participation behaviour (Ames, 1992; Papaioannous, 1995).
	Back to top
Performance climate	An environment that focuses on social comparison rewards, normative ability and the more able students (Ames, 1992; Nicholls, 1989).
	Back to top
Intrinsic regulated motivation	Most self determined motivation defined as doing an activity for its inherent satisfaction (Ryan & Deci, 2000).
	Back to top
Autonomy support	Minimising the salience of external incentives and threats, avoid controlling language, and acknowledge the learners' frame of reference (Black & Deci, 2000; Ryan & Connell, 1989)
	Autonomy-supportive teaching involves behaviours that seek to promote students' tendency to engage in learning because they value this activity or find it interesting (Roth, Assor, Kanat-Maymon, & Kaplan, 2007).
	Back to top
Amotivation	The least self-determined motivation which stems from feelings of incompetence, lack of activity value and the belief that one's actions have little, if any bearing on outcomes (Ryan & Deci, 2000).
	Back to top
Perceived competence	Extent that pupils perceive that their teachers allow them to feel capable in controlling the environment or the activity.
	Back to top

## References:

- Ames, C. (1992). Achievement goals, motivational climate and motivational processes. In G. C. Roberts (Ed.), *Motivation in sport and exercise* (pp. 161-176). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Black, A. E., & Deci, E. L. (2000). The effects of instructors' autonomy support and students' autonomous motivation on learning organic chemistry: A self-determination theory perspective. *Science Education*, *84*(740-756).
- Nicholls, J. (1989). The competitive ethos and democratic education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Ommundsen, Y., & Kvalø, S. E. (2007). Autonomy-mastery supportive or performance focused? Different teacher behaviors and pupil's outcomes in physical education. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, *51*, 386-413
- Papaioannou, A. (1995). Differential perceptual and motivational patterns when different goals are adopted. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology,* 17, 18-34.
- Reeve, J. (1998). Autonomy support as an interpersonal motivation style: Is it teachable? Contemporary Educational Psychology, 23, 312-330.
- Roth, G., Assor, A., Kanat-Maymon, Y., & Kaplan, H. (2007). Autonomous motivation for teaching: How self-determined teaching may lead to self-determined learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99, 761-774.
- Ryan, R. M., & Connell, J. P. (1989). Perceived locus of causality and internalization: Examining reasons for acting in two domains. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *57*, 749-761.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55, 68-78.