Academic Year	AY2017/18 Semester 2
Course Coordinator	Assistant Professor Susanna Jenkins
Course Code	ES2801
Course Title	Introduction to Natural Hazards
Pre-requisites	None
No of AUs	3
Contact Hours	Total hours – 39 (Lecture – 12; class activities – 27)
Proposal Date	31 May 2018

Course Aims

This is an introductory course that aims to provide you with background knowledge on the range of natural hazards that may affect Earth. You will learn about the geological and geographic setting of different natural hazards, the physical processes that create the hazard, their potential impacts and how or if the impacts can be mitigated. You will also carry individual studies of key hazardous sites and events and gain an insight into hazard and risk assessment, as well as a thorough understanding of the natural hazards threatening humans.

Intended Learning Outcomes (ILO)

By the end of this course, you (as a student) would be able to:

- 1. Identify the range of natural hazards, and explain where they occur, why and how (LO1).
- 2. Formulate well-reasoned arguments about the assessment and management of past hazards and disasters, based on the literature and your new knowledge of hazards and impacts (LO2).
- 3. Articulately present to others the hazards expected in any location around the world (LO3).

Course Content

The class content will be organised as learning about the where and why, the physical processes (hazards), their impacts and any possible mitigation strategies for 8 different natural hazards: Landslide, Volcano, Earthquake, Tsunami, Flood, Typhoon, Climate, Wildfire and Extra-terrestrial. Each topic will include a one hour formal lecture and a two hour team-based activity. In addition to these classes, there will be i) an introductory class will present key terms and concepts around natural hazards, ii) student-led discussions on seminal past disasters, and iii) a final class on the issue of hazard pairing (different hazards occurring at the same or similar time) and risk assessment. Individual projects to research a pre-assigned case study location and present it to the class will also form part of the course.

Assessment (include	s both cor	ntinuous and summative as	ssessment)						
Component	Course LO	Related Programme LO or Graduate Attributes	Weighting	Team/ Individual	Assessment Rubrics				
	Tested								
1. Participation in class discussion	1,2	Knowledge (Programme LO 1), intellectual flexibility and critical thinking (PLO 2), passion and communication (PLO 3), interdisciplinary (PLO 7).	10%	Individual	Appendix 1				
2. Preparation and presentation of Case study	3	Knowledge (PLO 1), intellectual flexibility and critical thinking PLO 2), passion and communication (PLO 3), interdisciplinary (PLO 7).	30%	Individual	Appendix 2				
3. Four quizzes on the hazards and overall concepts	1	Knowledge (PLO 1).	15% each	Individual	N/A				
Total 100%									

Formative feedback

Students will receive oral feedback for Component 1, scores and work through of correct answers for Component 3, and either written or oral feedback as appropriate for Component 2.

Learning and Teaching approach

Approach	How does this approach support students in achieving the learning outcomes?
Lecture	To effectively convey information on fundamental theories and key concepts and to bring all students up to similar levels of knowledge (Course LO1)
Interactive team-based activities	Various activities (discussion groups, debate, presentation, etc) to help students analyse, formulate and communicate a deep understanding of topics that are fundamental to natural hazard and risk assessment (CLO2, CLO3)

Reading and References

- 1) Natural Hazards and Disasters (Paperback) by Donald Hyndman, David Hyndman ISBN 10: 1305581695 ISBN 13: 9781305581692 Publisher: Brooks Cole, 2016
- 2) A key element of this course is to train students to make effective use of the primary scientific literature, and so they will be assigned a small number of scientific articles, websites and review articles to read for certain weeks and will be expected to be prepared to discuss them in class.

Course Policies and Student Responsibilities

(1) General

Students are expected to complete all assigned pre-class readings and activities on time, attend all lectures and class discussions, and submit all scheduled assignments and tests by due dates. Students are expected to take responsibility to follow up with course notes, assignments and course related announcements for seminar sessions they have missed. Students are expected to participate in all discussions and activities.

(2) Absenteeism

Absence from any part of the course without a valid reason will affect your overall course grade. Valid reasons include falling sick supported by a medical certificate. There will be limited make-up opportunities. If you miss a lecture or discussion group exercise you must inform me via email (susanna.jenkins@ntu.edu.sg) prior to the start of the class.

(3) Compulsory Assignments

You are required to submit compulsory assignments on due dates, unless a valid reason is provided. Valid reasons include falling sick supported by a medical certificate.

Academic Integrity

Good academic work depends on honesty and ethical behaviour. The quality of your work as a student relies on adhering to the principles of academic integrity and to the NTU Honour Code, a set of values shared by the whole university community. Truth, Trust and Justice are at the core of NTU's shared values.

As a student, it is important that you recognize your responsibilities in understanding and applying the principles of academic integrity in all the work you do at NTU. Not knowing what is involved in maintaining academic integrity does not excuse academic dishonesty. You need to actively equip yourself with strategies to avoid all forms of academic dishonesty, including plagiarism, academic fraud, collusion and cheating. If you are uncertain of the definitions of any of these terms, you should go to the <u>academic integrity website</u> for more information. Consult your instructor(s) if you need any clarification about the requirements of academic integrity in the course.

-					
	Course Instructors				
	Instructor	Office Location	Phone	Email	

Susanna Jenkins N		N2-01b-23	6592-7753		Susanna.Jenkins@ntu.e du.sg	
lanned Weekly	Sche	dule				
Week		Торіс	Instructor	Course LO	Readings/ Activities	
1 (Jan 14-19)	Intr con	oduction to key terms and cepts	Jenkins	1	Relevant chapter in main text book *	
2 (Jan 22-26)	Lan	dslide	Jenkins	1,2	u	
3 (Jan 29 – Feb 2)	Volcano		Jenkins	1,2	"	
4 (Feb 5-9)	Ear	thquake	TBD	1,2	u	
5 (Feb 12-16)	[Tes Tsu	st on geological hazards] nami	Jenkins	1,2	"	
6 (Feb 19-23)	Floo	bd	Jenkins	1,2	"	
7 (Feb 26 – Mar 1)	Typhoon		Jenkins	1,2	u	
8 (Mar 11-15)	[Tes Clin	<i>st on water and wind hazar</i> nate	ds] Jenkins	1,2	"	
9 (Mar 18-22)	Wil	dfire	Jenkins	1,2	u	
10 (Mar 26- 29)	Extra-terrestrial		Jenkins	1,2	"	
11 (Apr 1-6)	[Test on other hazards] Student led discussions on disasters		Jenkins	1,2	"	
12 (Apr 8-12)	Pre	sentations	Jenkins	3	"	
13 (Apr 15-19)	r 15-19) Hazard pairing and risk assessment		Jenkins	1,2	"	

* NOTE: A suggested reading list will also be given to the students for certain weeks, and they will be expected to read it before that week's discussion group exercise

Appendix 1:	Assessment	Criteria fo	or Participation	in Class	Discussion

	Standard					
Criteria Contribution to class discussion	A+ (Exceptional) A (Excellent) Important; Meaningful	A- (Very good) B+ (Good) Meaningful	B (Average) B- (Satisfactory) C+ (Marginally satisfactory) Some	C (Bordering unsatisfactory) C- (Unsatisfactory) Minimal	D, F* (Deeply unsatisfactory) Very minimal to none	
Capacity to articulate and present points of view	Very clear	Clear	Some	Limited	None	
Respectful discussion where all students contribute and no-one dominates the conversation	Achieved	Achieved	Not consistently	Limited	No	
Evidence of having read and assimilated the assigned reading	Yes	Yes	Some familiarity with the assigned reading	Little familiarity with the assigned reading	None	
Arguments and debates about the topic, based on the literature and student's own insights and knowledge	Well- reasoned	Some evidence of reasoning	Some evidence of having considered the discussion topic	Little serious thought about the discussion topic	Unexplained or unjustified absences from discussions	

	Standard					
Criteria	A+ (Exceptional) A (Excellent)	A- (Very good) B+ (Good)	B (Average) B- (Satisfactory) C+ (Marginally satisfactory)	C (Bordering unsatisfactory) C- (Unsatisfactory)	D, F* (Deeply unsatisfactory)	
range of natural hazards that have or will affect the case study area.	Excellent ability	Very good ability	Satisfactory	Limited	Not able	
Visuals (e.g. slides)	Outstanding; Well- structured, focused and effective	Very good; Reasonable structure and focus	Adequate; some capacity and focus	Inadequate; limited capacity and focus	Poor quality, difficult to follow; Not addressing the topic	
Oral presentation	Convincing, well- structured and exciting	Reasonably clear and well- structured	Satisfactory	Lackluster; Poorly organised	Inadequate; Badly structured	
Evidence of preparation and rehearsal	Exceptionally well-prepared	Well-prepared	Some	Marginal; Poor timing	Limited to none; Very poor timing	
Questions for others	Thought- provoking questions; Showing understanding and engagement	Asked; Showing understanding and engagement	Some; Some understanding and engagement	None	None; Obvious lack of engagement	
Answering of questions	Correct with critical insight	Correctly	Correctly	Mostly correctly	Not able	

Appendix 2: Assessment Criteria for Preparation and Presentation of Case study

*A failure to submit the visuals and/or a failure to deliver the oral presentation will result in a D, F.