

Academic Year	2020	Semester	1 and 2
Course Coordinator	Zhang Baile (Prof)		
Course Code	PH3199		
Course Title	Physics Laboratory IIIa		
Pre-requisites	PH2198 and PH2199		
No of AUs	2 AU		
Contact Hours	48 Hours (1 hour lesson and 3 hours on laboratory work in Physics Year 3 Teaching Lab / Physics Research Lab per Week, Week 2-13)		
Proposal Date	16 June 2020		
Course Aims			
<p>This course aims to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. build a basic understanding of experimentation in advanced topics of Physics. b. provide foundation knowledge for experimental physics. c. build observational skills of physical phenomena. d. prepare you for project based work in physics. 			
Intended Learning Outcomes (ILO)			
<p>Upon the successful completion of this course, you (as a student) would be able to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss deviations between theory and experiment. 2. Perform moderately sophisticated optical alignment. 3. Use CAD-based software to design basic prototype experimental components. 4. Use programming for basic simulations and computation. 5. Troubleshoot and debug problems in experiments. 6. Design simple experiments to answer scientific or practical questions. 7. Explain the fundamental mechanisms of observed phenomena using relevant equipment. 			
Course Content			
<p>This course provides you with advanced training in experimental physics and covers a wide variety of topics: Quantum physics, electrodynamics, atomic physics and spectroscopy, solid state physics, fluid mechanics, semiconductor physics, photonics, biophysics, vacuum technology and thin film growth.</p>			

Assessment (includes both continuous and summative assessment)

- You will be assessed by Teaching Assistant(s) and faculty member(s) from NTU.
- The shown weightage for Components 1. to 2. are the cumulative weightage over 3 different experiments.

Component	Course LO Tested (Pg 2)	Related Programme LO or Graduate Attributes (Pg 14-15)	Weighting	Team / Individual	Assessment Rubrics
1. Experiments Laboratory Full-Reports	LO 1-7	Competency (1,2,4,5,6,7) Creativity (2) Communication (1,2,3) Character (1,2)	60%	Individual	Rubrics marking - Appendix 1
2. Experiment(s)' Viva Voce	LO 1-7	Competency (1,2,3,4,5,6,7) Creativity (1,2) Communication (1,2) Character (1,2,3)	40%	Individual	Rubrics marking - Appendix 2
Total			100%		

Formative feedback

Formative feedback is given through multiple discussion sessions with the various experiments' teaching assistants as well as through the viva.

Learning and Teaching approach

Approach	How does this approach support students in achieving the learning outcomes?
Experiment(s)' Viva Voce	You would be asked warm-up and in-depth questions by the Teaching Assistant or Faculty member conducting the viva. You would be tested on your depth of understanding of the various experimental aspects. You receive feedback through interactions with the Teaching Assistant or Faculty member.

Reading and References

You will be provided the lab manuals after the experimentally specific online LAMS sequences are completed. You are required to source your own research relevant to the experimental context.

Course Policies and Student Responsibilities

Absence Due to Medical or Other Reasons

If you are sick and unable to attend your laboratory or viva sessions, you have to:

1. Send an email to the lab manager regarding the absence and request for a replacement / make-up laboratory or viva session.
2. Submit the original Medical Certificate* or official letter of excuse to administrator.
3. Attend the assigned replacement session (*subject to availability*).

* The medical certificate mentioned above should be issued in Singapore by a medical practitioner registered with the Singapore Medical Association.

Academic Integrity

Good academic work depends on honesty and ethical behaviour. The quality of your work as a student relies on adhering to the principles of academic integrity and to the NTU Honour Code, a set of values shared by the whole university community. Truth, Trust and Justice are at the core of NTU's shared values.

As a student, it is important that you recognize your responsibilities in understanding and applying the principles of academic integrity in all the work you do at NTU. Not knowing what is involved in maintaining academic integrity does not excuse academic dishonesty. You need to actively equip yourself with strategies to avoid all forms of academic dishonesty, including plagiarism, academic fraud, collusion and cheating. If you are uncertain of the definitions of any of these terms, you should go to the [academic integrity website](#) for more information. Consult your instructor(s) if you need any clarification about the requirements of academic integrity in the course.

Course Instructors

Instructor	Office Location	Phone	Email
Zhang Baile (Assoc. Prof)	SPMS-PAP 05 06	+65 6592 1653	blzhang@ntu.edu.sg

Planned Weekly Schedule

You would need to book your choice of 3 experiments (3 weeks for each) with the Physics Year 3 lab manager, Ms Zhang JingJing, based on availability at the start of the lab course.

Appendix 1: Examiner's Assessment Rubrics for PH3199 Physics Laboratory IIIa

Part 1: Laboratory Full-Report

Sections of the Laboratory Full Report	Far Exceeds Expectations (22 - 25)	Exceeds Expectations in some areas (16 - 21)	Meets Expectations (11 - 15)	Meets Expectations in some areas (6 - 10)	Below Expectations (0 - 5)	Score
<p>Introduction, Theory & Procedure Section suggested consideration point(s);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the student state the explicit, and any implicit, goals of their experiment? Did the student have additional experimental procedures aside from those provided in the lab manual? Is the student able to provide a pictorial overview of their experiment for the ease of understanding? 	<p><u>All of the experiment goal(s)</u> were stated.</p> <p><u>Extensive</u> procedural details provided, <u>referenced</u> the lab manual's procedure steps specifically as needed.</p> <p><u>Excellent attempts</u> to reduce or deduce experimental error.</p>	<p><u>All of the experiment goal(s)</u> were stated.</p> <p><u>Lots of</u> procedural details provided, <u>referenced</u> the lab manual procedures.</p> <p><u>Appreciable attempts</u> to reduce or deduce experimental error.</p>	<p><u>Most of the experiment goal(s)</u> were stated.</p> <p><u>Some</u> procedural details provided, <u>copied directly</u> from the lab manual.</p> <p><u>Some attempts</u> to reduce or deduce experimental error.</p>	<p><u>Some of the experiment goal(s)</u> were stated.</p> <p><u>Few</u> procedural details provided, <u>copied directly</u> from the lab manual.</p> <p><u>Some attempts</u> to reduce or deduce experimental error.</p>	<p><u>None of the experiment goal(s)</u> were stated.</p> <p><u>Little or no</u> procedural details provided.</p> <p><u>Absence of attempts</u> to reduce or deduce experimental error.</p>	/ 25
	(26 - 30)	(21 - 25)	(14 - 20)	(6 - 13)	(0 - 5)	
<p>Results Section suggested consideration point(s);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the student present all the experimental results as required in that experiment's lab manual? Did the student investigate certain physical aspects of the experiment outside the requirements of the lab manual? Are the results presented in an organised and coherent style with named diagrams & tables for easy reference? If an experiment requires so, is the student able to perform error propagation correctly? Is the student able to obtain uncertainties within the reasonable bounds of the apparatus used or from calculations? Has the student included experimentally obtained errors in their tabulated results in the form of uncertainties? If presenting graphical results, in the form of error bars? If an experiment requires so, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> is the student able to perform curve fitting using the recommended software? Has the student utilised the correct fitting function & results based on the experiment's theoretical considerations? Has the student provided the fitting results? 	<p><u>All of the required results</u> were presented.</p> <p>Presented results were <u>well-organised</u>, <u>tabulated</u>.</p> <p><u>Considerable initiative</u> investigating phenomena outside the requirements.</p> <p>-----</p> <p><u>All of the required uncertainties</u> were presented.</p> <p>Uncertainties obtained were <u>contextually realistic</u>. <u>Explanation was provided</u>.</p> <p><u>Correct</u> uncertainties from error propagation. <u>Method was provided</u>.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The <u>required data plots</u> were presented.</p> <p><u>Fitting results</u> were presented.</p> <p>Choice of fitting function & fitting results were <u>presented and explained</u>.</p>	<p><u>All of the required results</u> were presented.</p> <p>Presented results were <u>well-organised</u> and <u>tabulated</u>.</p> <p><u>Appreciable initiative</u> investigating phenomena outside the requirements.</p> <p>-----</p> <p><u>All of the required uncertainties</u> were presented.</p> <p>Uncertainties obtained were <u>contextually realistic</u>.</p> <p><u>Correct</u> uncertainties from error propagation.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The <u>required data plots</u> were presented.</p> <p><u>Fitting results</u> were presented.</p> <p>Choice of fitting function & fitting results were <u>presented</u>.</p>	<p><u>Most of the required results</u> were presented.</p> <p>Presented results were <u>organised</u> and <u>tabulated</u>.</p> <p><u>Some initiative</u> investigating phenomena outside the requirements.</p> <p>-----</p> <p><u>Most of the required uncertainties</u> were presented.</p> <p>Uncertainties obtained were <u>contextually unrealistic</u>.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The <u>required data plots</u> were presented.</p> <p><u>Fitting results</u> were presented.</p> <p>Choice of fitting function & fitting results were <u>not presented</u>.</p>	<p><u>Some of the required results</u> were presented.</p> <p>Presented results were <u>disorganised</u> and <u>not tabulated</u>.</p> <p><u>Some of the required uncertainties</u> were presented.</p> <p>Uncertainties obtained were <u>contextually unrealistic</u>.</p> <p>The <u>required data plots</u> were presented.</p> <p><u>No fitting results</u> were presented.</p>	<p><u>None of the required results</u> were presented.</p> <p>Any presented results were <u>messy</u> and <u>not tabulated</u>.</p> <p><u>No uncertainties</u> were presented.</p> <p><u>No data plots nor fitting results</u> were presented.</p>	/ 30

Sections of the Laboratory Full Report	Far Exceeds Expectations (35 - 40)	Exceeds Expectations in some areas (30 - 34)	Meets Expectations (16 - 29)	Meets Expectations in some areas (6 - 15)	Below Expectations (0 - 5)	Score
<p>Discussion Section suggested consideration point(s);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the student able to relate their obtained experimental results with the experiment's theoretical predication through the use of an appropriate quantifier (e.g. % differences, p-values, etc.) ? Is the student able to explain and make educated benchmarks of the experiment's accuracy and precision from the provided apparatus? Is the student able to compare their obtained experimental results against the benchmarks of accuracy and precision? Is the student able to identify trends in their results or data (e.g. asymmetry, skewed results towards a particular value, etc.) through suitable quantifiers of errors (e.g. % differences, uncertainties, etc.)? Has the student done only a qualitative analysis of the identified errors? Has the student identified possible errors from observing the trend of errors? Is the student able to identify one or two major causes of error in this experiment? Has the student made an attempt at quantifying the impact of possible errors after identifying them? Has the student suggested improvements to experimental procedure to reduce said identified errors or supported current procedures? 	<p><u>Required quantifiers</u> used.</p> <p><u>Well-reasoned attempts</u> at benchmarking the experiment's accuracy & precision.</p> <p><u>Successful attempts</u> at identifying error trends in presented results.</p> <p><u>Excellent attempts</u> at <u>quantifiable</u> error analysis.</p> <p><u>In-Depth qualitative</u> error analysis.</p> <p><u>Well-reasoned discussion</u> on the experimental impact of errors.</p>	<p><u>Required quantifiers</u> used.</p> <p><u>Reasonable attempts</u> at benchmarking the experiment's accuracy & precision.</p> <p><u>Determined attempts</u> at identifying error trends in presented results.</p> <p><u>Appreciable attempts</u> at <u>quantifiable</u> error analysis.</p> <p><u>Considerable qualitative</u> error analysis.</p> <p><u>Considerable discussion</u> on the experimental impact of errors.</p>	<p><u>Required quantifiers</u> used.</p> <p><u>Some attempts</u> at benchmarking the experiment's accuracy & precision</p> <p><u>Some attempts</u> at identifying error trends in presented results.</p> <p><u>Some attempts</u> at <u>quantifiable</u> error analysis.</p> <p><u>Considerable qualitative</u> error analysis.</p> <p><u>Some discussion</u> on the experimental impact of errors.</p>	<p><u>Required quantifiers</u> used.</p> <p><u>No attempts</u> at benchmarking the experiment's accuracy & precision</p> <p><u>Brief and short qualitative</u> error analysis.</p> <p><u>Brief discussion</u> on the experimental impact of errors.</p>	<p><u>Absence of any quantifiers</u> used.</p> <p><u>Omission of any attempts</u> at determining the apparatus accuracy & precision.</p> <p>Error analysis was <u>completely omitted</u>.</p>	/ 40
	(5)	(4)	(2 - 3)	(1)	(0)	
<p>Conclusion Section suggested consideration point(s);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has the student evaluated the success of their experiment via obtained experimental goals and suitable quantifiers? Has the student identified the most prominent source of error and had given suggestions to improve the experiment? <p>Conclusion Section is at most 2 paragraphs.</p>	<p>Experiment's goals are <u>fully</u> met .</p> <p><u>Detailed mention</u> of any concluding evaluations, has interesting observations.</p>	<p>Experiment's goals are <u>fully</u> met .</p> <p><u>Some mention</u> of any concluding evaluations.</p>	<p>Brief.</p> <p>Experiment's goals are <u>fully</u> met .</p> <p><u>Little mention</u> of any concluding evaluations.</p>	<p>Very brief.</p> <p>Experiment's goals are <u>not fully</u> met .</p> <p><u>Absence</u> of any concluding evaluations.</p>	<p>The conclusion section was <u>completely omitted</u>.</p>	/ 5
					¹ Total :	/ 100

¹Normalised to 100%.

Appendix 2: Examiner's Assessment Rubrics for PH3199 Physics Laboratory IIIa

Part 2: Experiment(s)' Viva

	Far Exceeds Expectations (21 - 25)	Exceeds Expectations in some areas (16 - 20)	Meets Expectations (11 - 15)	Meets Expectations in some areas (6 - 10)	Below Expectations (0 - 5)	Score
<p>Experiment Theoretical Understanding suggested consideration point(s);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the student have a firm grasp of the physical theories behind the experiment? Does the student understand the scientific significance of their experiment? 	Demonstrated <u>extremely thorough understanding</u> of the experiment's physics.	Demonstrated <u>thorough understanding</u> of the experiment's physics.	Demonstrated <u>considerable understanding</u> of the experiment's physics.	Demonstrated <u>limited understanding</u> of the experiment's physics.	Demonstrated <u>completely no understanding</u> of the experiment's physics.	/ 25
<p>Understanding of Experimental Methodology suggested consideration point(s);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the student have a good understanding of the experimental design, instrumentation and data acquisition? Did the student explain and perform any additional procedures outside of the lab manual's instructions? 	Demonstrated an <u>expert understanding</u> of the experiment's methodology. <u>Significant number</u> of additional procedures, <u>supported with detailed</u> explanations	Demonstrated <u>through understanding</u> of the experiment's methodology. <u>Appreciable number</u> of additional procedures, <u>supported with simple</u> explanations	Demonstrated <u>considerable understanding</u> of the experiment's methodology. <u>Limited number</u> of additional procedures, <u>supported with simple</u> explanations	Demonstrated <u>limited understanding</u> of the experiment's methodology.	Demonstrated <u>completely no understanding</u> of the experiment's methodology.	/ 25
<p>Analysis of Experimental Data suggested consideration point(s);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the student able to support their analysis from their obtained data or observed data trends? Is the student able to use their analysis to support their experimental deductions (e.g. errors of significance, prove of existence, etc..) ? 	Able to <u>extensively support</u> their analysis through use of <u>appropriate</u> data. Deduced aspects are <u>strongly supported</u> by their analysis.	Able to <u>support</u> their analysis through use of <u>appropriate</u> data. Deduced aspects are <u>somehow supported</u> by their analysis.	Able to <u>support</u> their analysis through use of <u>reasonably appropriate</u> data. Deduced aspects are <u>weakly supported</u> by their analysis.	Able to <u>weakly support</u> their analysis through use of <u>reasonably appropriate</u> data. <u>Few to none</u> deduced aspects. Deduced aspects are <u>weakly supported</u> by their analysis.	<u>No Analysis</u> . <u>Unable to support</u> their analysis through use of data. <u>Absence</u> of any deduced aspects.	/ 25
<p>Communication Skills suggested consideration point(s);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the students understand the questions and answer to the point? Were the students confident of their answer? Were the students able to engage in a meaningful & civil discussion with the faculty member? 	<u>Very productive discussions and deep analyses</u> . Ideas were presented <u>very clearly</u> .	<u>Productive discussions and analyses</u> . Ideas were presented <u>clearly</u> .	<u>Some discussions and analyses</u> . Ideas were presented <u>some-what clearly</u> .	<u>Little discussions and analyses</u> . Ideas were <u>mostly unclear</u> .	<u>Absence of a response, discussions or analyses</u> Ideas were <u>not presented clearly</u> .	/ 25
					¹ Total :	/ 100

¹Normalised to 100%.

Graduate Attributes

What we want our graduates from Physics and Applied Physics to be able to do:

Upon the successful completion of the PHY, APHY and PHMA programs, graduates should be able to:

<i>Competency</i>	1	demonstrate a rigorous understanding of the core theories and principles of physics involving (but not limited to) areas such as classical mechanics, electromagnetism, thermal physics and quantum mechanics;
		[PHMA only] demonstrate a rigorous understanding of the core theories and principles of mathematical sciences involving (but not limited to) areas such as analysis, algebra and statistical analysis;
	2	read and understand undergraduate level physics content independently;
	3	make educated guesses / estimations of physical quantities in general;
	4	apply fundamental physics knowledge, logical reasoning, mathematical and computational skills to analyse, model and solve problems;
	5	develop theoretical descriptions of physical phenomena with an understanding of the underlying assumptions and limitations;
	6	critically evaluate and distinguish sources of scientific/non-scientific information and to recommend appropriate decisions and choices when needed;
7	demonstrate the ability to design and conduct experiments in a Physics laboratory, to make measurements, analyse and interpret data to draw valid conclusions.	

<i>Creativity</i>	1	propose valid approaches to tackle open-ended problems in unexplored domains;
	2	offer valid alternative perspectives/approaches to a given situation or problem.

<i>Communication</i>	1	describe physical phenomena with scientifically sound principles;
	2	communicate (in writing and speaking) scientific and non-scientific ideas effectively to professional scientists and to the general public;
	3	communicate effectively with team members when working in a group.

<i>Character</i>	1	uphold absolute integrity when conducting scientific experiments, reporting and using the scientific results;
	2	readily pick up new skills, particularly technology related ones, to tackle new problems;
	3	contribute as a valued team member when working in a group.

<i>Civic Mindedness</i>	1	put together the skills and knowledge into their work in an effective, responsible and ethical manner for the benefits of society.
--------------------------------	---	--