Academic Year	2021-2022	Semester	2
Course Coordinator	Dr Geraldine Song	•	-
Course Code/s	CY0001		
Course Title	Writing Across The Disciplines		
Pre-requisites	None		
No of AUs	3		
Contact Hours	3 hours per week, seminar		
	39 hours total		
	TBL (Team-Based Learning)		

Course Aims

This course has two aims.

The first supports you in thinking, reading and writing critically about concepts found in the various disciplines of the humanities. Writings in the humanities contain abstract concepts that often turn into scientific and technological reality. It is important for you as a scholar to learn how concepts in STEM disciplines have roots that go back to the humanities. It is also relevant for you to understand how scientific and engineering innovations have objectives that promote the quality of life for all members of our human race. You will learn to identify different methodologies and approaches to texts and discuss the rhetoric and reasoning employed in these texts. You will then apply these approaches to the real world of scientific and engineering technologies and events.

The second aim is to support your communication and presentation of scientific and technical concepts to the lay public. You will learn to explain technical terms in STEM fields in language that the non-scientific public can understand. You will learn to write and present persuasively, so that the lay public will be convinced of the scientific findings and results. This is important for you as a STEM scholar, as you will be facing policy makers and politicians in your career, and you should acquire the skills and techniques of persuasion and rhetoric.

Intended Learning Outcomes (ILO)

By the end of this course, you (as a student) would be able to:

- 1. define the philosophical concepts in the discipline of humanities.
- 2. apply the concepts you have learned from the humanities to issues and events faced in the STEM fields.
- 3. write a blog article with descriptions and explanations of scientific and/or engineering concepts and findings in plain English.
- 4. explain the scientific and/or engineering possibilities and impossibilities in selected sci-fi films to the lay public.
- 5. present (in your group) your chosen emerging field of science and/or technology to the lay public.

Course Content

<u>Topics</u>: Why write? For whom and to whom? Dissemination of scientific and engineering knowledge Knowing your audience Giving constructive criticism Receiving criticism with confidence The 21st century engineer and scientist Educating the public The role of humanities in STEM and policy Emerging scientific and engineering fields Presenting scientific and engineering ideas to the public Interpreting science and technology in films

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Assessment (incl	udes both continuous	and summative assessment)
---------------------------------------	------------------	----------------------	---------------------------

Component	Course	(Grad Attributes	Wei	ghting	Assessmen
	LO Tested	– 3 Cs)	Indiv	Team	t rubrics
1. Individual Readiness		Individual and	5%		
Assurance tasks		Team Work;			
(iRAs)		(Ethical			
		Reasoning -			
		Character)		-	
2. Team Readiness	1, 2	Individual and		5%	
Assurance tasks		Team Work;			
(tRAs)		(Cognitive Agility,			
		Competence)			
3. Application	1, 2	Life-long		10%	Appendix 1
Exercises (AEs)		Learning;			
		(Cognitive Agility,			
		Competence)			
4. Blog: Describing and	1, 2, 3	(Ethical	30%		Appendix 2
promoting your		Reasoning –			
favourite scientific		Character)			
or engineering topic					
5. Essay: Analyse a	4	Life-long	30%		Appendix 3
film for its scientific		Learning;			
and engineering		(Cognitive Agility,			
possibilities and		Competence)			
impossibilities					
6. Presenting	5	Self-discipline &	10%	10%	Appendix 4
emerging scientific		Disciplinary			
and/or engineering		Depth –			
concepts to the lay		(Competence)			
public					
Total			75%	25%	

1. Individual Readiness Assurance Tasks (iRAs)

Individually, you will answer eight to ten questions in multiple choice format.

2. Team Readiness Assurance Tasks (tRAs)

In your groups, you discuss the same questions as in the iRA, and decide on the answer to those questions. This activity may be an open-book activity, according to your teacher's instruction for that week.

3. Application Exercises (AEs)

This activity involves application exercises on the concepts learned for the week. Application Exercises are given in the form of questions for discussion, or you may be given a paragraph from the readings, and specific questions are asked based on the paragraph. Your answers to these AEs should be in paragraph form, and sometimes in bullet points. It may also include screenshots and images from your research during this activity. You are required to be an active member of this group activity, whether or not you are the group leader for the week. Exercises may include the following:

[a] searching for real-life examples similar to those mentioned in the readings.

[b] searching for films/novels/poetry that portray or exemplify the concepts in the readings. [c] suggesting possible answers to problems mentioned in the readings (occasionally you are asked to compose creative pieces too).

4. Writing a Scientific or Technical Blog

You are required to choose your favourite scientific or engineering topic and write a blog to educate the public about how science and/or engineering is relevant to us individuals on this planet.

5. Analysing a sci-fi film

You will describe the scientific and/or engineering innovations in the film's story and analyse the possibilities and impossibilities of the film's portrayal of futuristic technologies.

6. Presenting Scientific Concepts to the Non-Scientific Public

Your group will pick one emerging scientific or engineering field and describe the technical aspects to the lay public. You will also consider the human and environmental impact of this emerging field.

Formative feedback

Feedback will be given in the following ways:

- 1. Clarifying lecture after iRA and tRA. The two Readiness Assurance tasks will identify where most of the points have not been understood or misunderstood.
- 2. Oral feedback after AEs.
- 3. Written feedback blogs
- 4. Conferencing on topics.
- 5. Oral feedback on Presentation.

Learning and Teaching approach

Approach	How does this approach support students in achieving the learning outcomes?		
TBL	- Individual Readiness Assurance Task – iRA		
(Team-Based	This task is undertaken to assure the student that s/he has understood		
Learning)	the concepts and prepares the student for team-based assessments.		
	 Team Readiness Assurance Tasks – tRA 		
	The group is assessed as a team, and this is done immediately after the		
	iRA. The questions in this task are exactly the same as the ones in the		
	<u><i>iRA</i></u> . This is to ensure that the group members will be able to discuss and analyse the <u>same</u> philosophical concepts and approaches found in the text that they have read. They may also discuss the rhetoric and		

	 Clarifying Lecture The tRAs will have revealed which concepts were not understood, or misunderstood, and a mini lecture will be conducted to clarify these areas. Application Exercises – AEs These exercises build on concepts and ideas gleaned from the tRAs completed earlier. AEs are designed to assure the students that <u>after</u> they have identified, differentiated and explained the concepts, they 					
	are able to analyse various primary readings <u>using the knowledge of</u> <u>the concepts learned</u> .					
Reading and Reference	S					
Aines, Roger D., and Am <i>Makers</i> . Califor	ny L. Aines. <i>Championing Science: Communicating Your Ideas to Decision</i> nia: UC Press, 2019.					
Barthes, Roland. "An Al 177-182. Web.	most Obessive Relation to Writing Instruments." Le Monde, Sep 27, 1973:					
Ben-Haim, Yakov. "Why <i>Mechanical Eng</i>	The Best Engineers Should Study Humanities." <i>International Journal of ineering Education</i> , Vol. 8 No. 3: 195-200. Web.					
Chambers, Harry E. <i>Effe</i> Cambridge MA:	ctive Communication Skills for Scientific and Technical Professionals. Perseus, 2001.					
Deresiewicz, William. "H 2015: 24-32. W	How College Sold Its Soul and surrendered to the market." <i>Harpers,</i> Sep eb.					
Derrida, Jacques. "The F 13 No. 3 (Autun	Principle of Reason: The University in the Eyes of Its Pupils." <i>Diacritics</i> , Vol. nn 1983): 2-20. Web.					
Dick, Philip K. The Mino	rity Report. New York: Pantheon Publishing, 2002.					
Foucault, Michel. ""Pan	opticism" from Discipline & Punish: The Birth of the Prison."					
Race/Ethnicity:	Multidisciplinary Global Contexts, 2.1 (2008): 1-12. Project Muse. Web.					
Coleman. New Y	My of the Classes." <i>Everyddy Theory</i> . Eds. Becky MicLaughlin and Bob York: Pearson Longman, 2005.					
Illingworth, Sam, and G <i>as a Scienitst</i> . B	rant Allen. <i>Effective Science Communication: A Practical Guide to Surviving</i> ristol, UK: IOP Publishing, 2016.					
Krahn, Timothy, Andrev	v Fenton, and Letitia Meynell. "Novel Neurotechnologies in Film: A					
Reading of Step	hen Spielberg's Minority Report." Neuroethics, 3 (2010): 73-88. Web.					
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2	007): 89-100. Web.					
Robinson, Douglas K.R.,	Lu Huang, Ying Guo, and Alan L. Porter. "Forecasting Innovation Pathways					
(FIP) for new and emerging science and technologies." <i>Technological Forecasting and Social Change</i> , Vol. 80 (2013): 267-285. Web						
Said, Edward. "The Polit	tics of Knowledge." Raritan: A Quarterly Review, Vol. 11 Issue 1 (Summer					
1991): 1-9. Web).					
Sanitt, Nigel. Culture, Curiosity and Communication in Scientific Discovery: The Eye in Ideas.						
London; New Yo Sartre Jean-Paul "Why	ork: Routledge, 2019. Write?" Everyday Theory, Eds. Becky McLaughlin and Boh Coleman, New					
York: Pearson Longman. 2005.						
Spielberg, Stephen, dir. <i>Minority Report</i> . 2002.						
Zhou, Yuan, Fang Dong, Dejing Kong, and Yufei Liu. "Unfolding the Convergence Process of						
Scientific Knowledge for the Early Identification of Emerging Technologies." <i>Technological Forecasting and Social Change</i> . Vol. 144 (2019): 205-220.						

Course Policies and Student Responsibilities (1) General

You are expected to complete all assigned pre-class readings and activities, attend all seminar classes punctually and undertake all scheduled assignments and tasks by due dates. You are expected to take responsibility to follow up with course notes, assignments and course related announcements for seminar sessions they have missed. You are expected to participate in all seminar discussions and activities.

(2) Absenteeism

TBL requires you to be in class to contribute to team work. In-class activities make up a significant portion of your course grade. Absence from class without a valid reason will affect your overall course grade. Valid reasons include falling ill supported by a medical certificate and participation in NTU's approved activities supported by an excuse letter from the relevant bodies. There will be no make-up opportunities for in-class activities.

If you miss a seminar session, you must inform your team members and me via email (include email address) prior to the start of the class. Students who miss tRAs and team in-class activities with valid reasons will keep the team score. Students who miss iRAs or tRAs without valid reasons will not be given the grade.

Academic Integrity

Good academic work depends on honesty and ethical behaviour. The quality of your work as a student relies on adhering to the principles of academic integrity and to the NTU Honour Code, a set of values shared by the whole university community. Truth, Trust and Justice are at the core of NTU's shared values.

As a student, it is important that you recognize your responsibilities in understanding and applying the principles of academic integrity in all the work you do at NTU. Not knowing what is involved in maintaining academic integrity does not excuse academic dishonesty. You need to actively equip yourself with strategies to avoid all forms of academic dishonesty, including plagiarism, academic fraud, collusion and cheating. If you are uncertain of the definitions of any of these terms, you should go to the <u>academic integrity website</u> for more information. Consult your instructor(s) if you need any clarification about the requirements of academic integrity in the course.

Course Instructor			
Instructor	Office Location	Phone	Email
Dr Geraldine Song	HSS-04-29	93638683	GSSong@ntu.edu.sg

Planr	ned Weekly Sche	dule		
Wk	Торіс	LO	Readings	Activities/Notes
1	- Intro to Course	1, 2	Readings: The four short articles are on NTULearn.	Please bring your tablets or laptops every week
2	- Why write? To and for whom?	1,2	- Jean-Paul Sartre - Roland Barthes	
3	 Oriented research: Dissemination of scientific and engineering knowledge Knowing your audience 	1, 2	 Aines and Aines Chp 1 pp3-9 Championing the Sciences Aines and Aines Chp 3 pp14-26 Extracting the Essence Aines and Aines Chp 4 pp27-41 Who's Listening? Jacques Derrida 	IRA and TRA
4	- Giving constructive criticism - Receiving criticism with confidence	1, 2	 Harry E. Chambers Chapter 4 Giving and Receiving Criticism Edward Said 	Presentations
5	- The 21 st century engineer and scientist	1, 2, 3	- Mark J Riemer - William Deresiewicz	IRA and TRA
6	- Educating the public		- Illingworth and Allen Chapter 7	Looking at Assignment Q
7	- The role of humanities in STEM and policy	1, 2	 Illingworth and Allen Chapter 8 Science and Policy Michel Foucault 	IRA and TRA

			Recess Week	
8	- Emerging scientific and engineering technologies	3	 Chambers Chapter 6 Communicating Technical Info to Non-Techies Robinson et al Zhou et al 	AEs
9	- Presenting scientific and engineering ideas to the lay public	4, 5	 Aines & Aines pp 57-60, 79-84 Telling a Story Sanitt Chapter 8 Science and Literature Sanitt Chapter 10 Science and Art 	AEs
10	- Interpreting science and engineering in film and fiction	4,	- Krahn et al - Philip K. Dick - <i>Minority Report,</i> film	AEs
11	- Presentation of proposals (group)	5		
12	- Consultations and feedback		 Bring along your drafts for feedback and peer review 	
13	- Group presentations	5		

Appendix 1: Assessment Criteria for Application Exercises

Standards	Criteria
A+ / A	Clear, concise, scrupulously accurate, polished, and sometimes innovative or original language used to express complex and abstract ideas and information.
A-	Clear, concise, mostly accurate, polished, and with good language & scholastic expression used to convey complex and abstract ideas and information.
B+ / B	Correct but occasionally stilted, or awkwardly expressed, although meaning is generally retained.
B- / C+	Partially correct and awkwardly expressed, rendering the answer simplistic and feeble.
C / D+ / D	Awkwardly expressed with oversimplified expression resulting in overall lack of clarity of meaning.
F	Didn't hand in answer.

Appendix 2: Assessment Criteria for Blog

Standards	Criteria
A+ / A	• Introduction fulfils both clearly and succinctly: background, context.
	• Structure fulfils all four clearly and succinctly: objectives, research
	findings, recommendations, benefits.
	 Audience awareness fulfils all <u>three</u>: people-centred, political
	correctness, appropriate tone.
	 Explaining jargon and technical terms in identifiable layman's
	language, using <u>concrete, identifiable, everyday</u> examples.
	 Language requirements fulfills all <u>four</u>: succinct sentencing, active
	voice, positive phrasing, and perfect grammar.
A-	 Introduction fulfils <u>both</u> clearly and succinctly: background, context.
	 Structure fulfils all <u>four</u> clearly and succinctly: objectives, research
	findings, recommendations, benefits.
	 Audience awareness fulfils all <u>three</u>: people-centred, political
	correctness, appropriate tone.
	 Explaining jargon and technical terms in identifiable layman's
	language, using <u>some</u> concrete, identifiable, everyday examples.
	• Language requirements fulfills at least two, including perfect grammar:
	succinct sentencing, active voice, positive phrasing.
в+ / в	 Introduction fulfils both fairly clearly but not succinctly: background, context
	Context.
	o Structure runns at reast <u>two or three</u> clearly and succinctly: objectives,
	Audience awareness fulfils at least two: neeple centred, political
	o Addience awareness runns <u>at least two</u> , people-centred, political
	\sim Explaining jargon and technical terms in identifiable layman's
	language using very few concrete identifiable everyday examples
	 Language requirements fulfills at least two, with a few grammar errors:
	succinct sentencing active voice nositive nhrasing
B- / C+	 Introduction doesn't provide background context not explained fully
	 Structure and explanations of objectives, research findings.
	recommendations, and benefits are unclear, or not discussed.
	 <u>Unaware</u> of being people-centred, some evidence of <u>political</u>
	incorrectness, and use of inappropriate tone.
	 <u>Not explaining jargon and technical terms</u> in identifiable layman's
	language, using <u>very few or no</u> concrete, identifiable, everyday
	examples.
	 Language requirements fulfills at least <u>one, with a few grammar errors</u>
	and typos: succinct sentencing, active voice, positive phrasing.
C / D+ / D	 Introduction <u>no</u> background, context <u>unclear</u>.
	 Structure and explanations of objectives, research findings,
	recommendations, and benefits are <u>unclear, or not discussed</u> .
	 <u>Unaware</u> of being people-centred, full of <u>political incorrectness</u>, and
	use of <u>inappropriate tone</u> .
	 INOT explaining jargon and technical terms in identifiable layman's
	language, using <u>no</u> concrete, identifiable, everyday examples.
	 Language requirements fuifilis at least <u>one, with shocking grammar</u>
	<u>errors and typos</u> : succinct sentencing, active voice, positive phrasing.

Appendix 3: Assessment Criteria for Essay

Standards	Criteria
A+ / A	• Detailed close reading of film, inclusion of specific textual evidence (not
	just summary and description).
	• <u>Clear explanations</u> in layman's terms of scientific and technical processes in
	the film.
	 <u>Clear and logical</u> explanations of the possibilities and impossibilities of
	science and engineering in the film.
	 <u>Good attention</u> to audience, including <u>respectful</u> tone.
	 <u>Excellent</u> use of <u>simple and concise</u> English, <u>no grammatical errors</u>.
	 Consistent scholarly format and documentation. <u>No</u> typos.
A-	 <u>Detailed close reading</u> of film, inclusion of <u>general</u> textual evidence (not
	just summary and description).
	• <u>Clear explanations</u> in layman's terms of scientific and technical processes in
	the film.
	 Logical explanations of the possibilities and impossibilities of science and
	engineering in the film.
	 <u>Good attention</u> to audience, including <u>respectful</u> tone.
	• Excellent use of simple and concise English, very few grammatical errors.
	 Consistent scholarly format and documentation. <u>One or two</u> typos.
B+ / B	 <u>Detailed reading</u> of film, inclusion of <u>general</u> textual evidence (not just
	summary and description).
	 Mostly clear explanations in layman's terms of scientific and technical
	processes in the film.
	 Logical explanations of the possibilities and impossibilities of science and
	engineering in the film.
	 <u>Some attention</u> to audience, including <u>respectful</u> tone.
	 Use of <u>simple and concise</u> English, although sometimes awkwardly
	written, <u>some grammatical errors</u> .
	 Fairly consistent scholarly format and documentation. <u>Some</u> typos.
B- / C+	 <u>Simple reading</u> of film, inclusion of <u>general</u> textual evidence (mostly
	summary and description).
	 <u>Vague explanations</u> and <u>heavy use of technical terms</u>.
	 <u>Fuzzy</u> explanations of the possibilities and impossibilities of science and
	engineering in the film.
	 <u>Some attention</u> to audience, <u>condescending</u> tone.
	 Use of grandiose or complicated English, awkwardly written, many
	grammatical errors.
	 Inconsistent scholarly format and documentation. <u>Many</u> typos.
C / D+ / D	 <u>Simple reading</u> of film, <u>no</u> textual evidence (mostly summary of film).
	 <u>Vague explanations</u> and <u>heavy use of technical terms</u>.
	 <u>Fuzzy</u> explanations of the possibilities and impossibilities of science and
	engineering in the film.
	 <u>Ignoring</u> the audience, <u>condescending</u> tone.
	 Use of <u>grandiose or complicated</u> English, awkwardly written, <u>many</u>
	grammatical errors.
	 Inconsistent non-scholarly format. <u>Dreadful</u> typos.

Appendix 4: Assessment Criteria for Presenting Scientific Concepts to a non-Scientific Audience

In this presentation assignment, you are to pick one emerging scientific or engineering field and present according to the rubric in the assignment question.

Grades	Criteria for Group Work	Criteria for Individual Presentation
A+ / A	 Using the story structure <u>clearly</u>: identity of group; their learning journey, their problems and how they surmounted them; their research results and recommendations Recommending <u>strong</u> questions for useful further research Reinforcing <u>all</u> key items on graphs and <u>simplifying all</u> wedges Explaining <u>all</u> equations in layman's terms Providing context of <u>all</u> photographs and pictures, and pointing to important features in them. 	 Confident body language: posture, use of space, eye contact etc Clear voice projection Enthusiastic and persuasive
Α-	 Using the story structure <u>clearly</u>: identity of group; their learning journey, their problems and how they surmounted them; their research results and recommendations Recommending <u>some</u> questions for useful further research Reinforcing <u>some</u> key items on graphs and <u>simplifying some</u> wedges Explaining <u>some</u> equations in layman's terms Providing context of <u>some</u> of the photographs and pictures, and pointing to important features in them. 	 Confident body language: posture, use of space, eye contact etc Clear voice projection Enthusiastic and persuasive
B+ / B	 Using <u>some parts</u> of the story structure: identity of group; their learning journey, their problems and how they surmounted them; their research results and recommendations <u>Weak</u> recommendations for further research Reinforcing <u>some</u> key items on graphs and <u>simplifying some</u> wedges Explaining <u>some</u> equations in layman's terms, and <u>using too many equations</u> (instead of explanations) Providing context of <u>some</u> of the photographs and pictures, and pointing to <u>a few</u> important features in them. 	 Confident body language in some areas: posture, use of space, eye contact etc Voice not projected half the time. Enthusiastic but unpersuasive

B- / C+	0	<u>Hodge-podge</u> structure: identity of group;	0	Poor body language
		their learning journey, their problems and	0	Poor voice projection
		how they surmounted them; their	0	Timid and unpersuasive
		research results and recommendations		
	0	Weak or no recommendations for further		
		research		
	0	Reinforcing some key items on graphs and		
		simplifying some wedges		
	0	Explaining <u>some</u> equations in layman's		
		terms, and <u>using too many equations</u>		
		(instead of explanations)		
	0	Providing context of <u>some</u> of the		
		photographs and pictures, and pointing to		
		<u>a few</u> important features in them.		
C / D+ / D	0	No structure	0	Poor body language
	0	No recommendations for further research	0	Poor voice projection
	0	Too many technical graphs and	0	Poor attitude
		complicated wedges		
	0	Explaining <u>some</u> equations in layman's		
		terms, and <u>using too many equations</u>		
		(instead of explanations)		
	0	Photographs and pictures are not given		
		context in layman's terms		