Abstract—In orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) systems, integer frequency offset (IFO) causes a circular shift of the subcarrier indices in the frequency domain. The IFO can be mitigated through strict RF front-end design, which tends to be expensive, or by strictly limiting mobility and channel agility, which constrains operating scenarios. The IFO is, therefore, often estimated and removed at baseband, allowing implementations to benefit from the relaxed RF front-end specifications and to be tolerant to both Doppler shift and multistandard channel selection. This paper proposes a novel architecture for the IFO estimation which achieves reduced power consumption and lower computational cost than contemporary methods, while achieving excellent estimation performance, close to theoretically achievable bounds. A pilot subsampling technique enables fourfold resource sharing to reduce the computational cost, while multiplierless computation yields further power reduction. Performance exceeds that of the conventional techniques, while being much more efficient. When implemented on field-programmable gate array for IEEE 802.16-2009, the dynamic power reductions of 78% are achieved. The architecture and method is applicable to other OFDM standards including IEEE 802.11 and IEEE 802.22.

Index Terms—Digital signal processing (DSP), field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM).

I. INTRODUCTION

ORTHOGONAL frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) has been widely adopted for both wired and wireless communication systems due to its well-document robustness to multipath and spectral efficiency. However, it is sensitive to the receiver synchronization errors such as carrier frequency offset (CFO) which causes intercarrier interference (ICI), and which is exacerbated by issues such as motion-induced Doppler shifts and local oscillator instability [1]. Large CFO is split into fractional frequency offset (FFO) and integer frequency offset (IFO) for estimation [2]–[6]. The IFO causes a circular shift of the subcarrier in the frequency domain while FFO results in ICI due to lost orthogonality between subcarriers. In many published works on OFDM synchronization, the CFO is split into coarse- and fine-frequency offset estimation processes that determine the IFO and FFO, respectively [7].

Fig. 1 shows the typical FFO and IFO estimation process for OFDM. Nogami’s method [8] estimates IFO by searching for a correlation maxima between the known preamble symbol and a cyclically shifted version of the received preamble symbol in the frequency domain. The technique is simple, but its performance degrades significantly in frequency selective channels. Maximum likelihood estimation has been used to estimate IFO [5], [6], based upon the observation of pilots from two consecutive OFDM symbols. A reduced complexity alternative is only to compute over one preamble OFDM symbol, using differential encoding among adjacent subcarriers and correlation estimation [2], [3]. Li et al. [9] proposed a method using a cross ambiguity function based on an energy-detection metric, which can be computed in the time domain. This provides high accuracy and gives a full range estimation of the IFO in the presence of frequency selective fading, but requires an exhaustive search. Although there has been significant research on IFO estimation methods, this has primarily been restricted to studies in simulation.

Field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) have been used to implement software radio systems for over two decades, and provide an ideal platform which is higher performance, and lower power compared with the processor-based software radio [10]. Several authors have presented the hardware implementations of the OFDM-based systems in [11]–[14], but these implementations do not include IFO estimation (only FFO). Without the IFO correction, the worst case CFO must not exceed the largest supported FFO. This can be achieved in practice through very strict and potentially expensive constraints on the RF front-end design, and avoiding application that significantly increase CFO, including mobility (i.e., Doppler-induced CFO [4]) and cognitive radio (switching between multiple frequency bands leads to CFO [15], [16]). Like many recent works [17]–[19],
this paper is concerned with the IFO estimation, either to support large-CFO application scenarios, or to enable cost saving through relaxing strict front-end design criteria. While the state-of-the-art IFO estimation methods achieve good performance, they rely on cross correlation between received signal and known preamble. These theoretical methods lead to very large hardware resource consumption when implemented. At present, there is a lack of published IFO estimation implementation techniques, thus this paper proposes and evaluates an efficient architecture for implementation of IFO estimation. We show that this novel method achieves very accurate IFO estimation on low-power FPGAs with minimal hardware cost, at significantly lower power than the existing solutions. Implementation of this technique in a radio system can allow relaxed front-end design constraints, potentially lowering design cost, as well as enabling greater robustness in high mobility applications.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the signal model for the IFO estimation, while Section III introduced the proposed method in comparison with previous work. Section IV details simulations used to evaluate the method against the conventional approaches. Section V presents the implementation on FPGA and discusses resource requirements and power consumption issues. Finally, the conclusion is drawn in Section VI.

II. INTEGER FREQUENCY OFFSET ESTIMATION

We consider a signal $x(n)$ of an OFDM system with inverse fast Fourier transform (FFT) length $N$. Assuming that the signal is transmitted over a frequency selective channel with impulse response (CIR) $h$ and length, $L_h$, corrupted by additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN), the received signal, with frequency offset and timing offset, is expressed in the time domain as

$$
y(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{L_h-1} h(l)x(n - l - \tau) e^{i(2\pi \zeta N + \phi_0)} + w(n)$$

where $w(n)$ denotes the AWGN in the time domain, $\tau$ and $\phi_0$ are residual timing offset (RTO) and error phase, respectively, and $\zeta$ is the normalized CFO that can be divided in to an FFO part $\lambda$ and IFO part $\epsilon$ as $\zeta = \lambda + \epsilon$. This paper focuses on the IFO estimation, with FFO assumed to be compensated by earlier stages of synchronization, as investigated in detail elsewhere [4], [20].

The received preamble symbol at the FFT output is

$$Y(k) = e^{i(\phi_0 - 2\pi \frac{k \epsilon}{N})} H(k - \epsilon) X(k - \epsilon) + W(n)$$

where $W(k)$ and $H(k)$ are the frequency domain representations of AWGN and CIR, respectively. As mentioned above, the IFO results in a cyclic shift in the frequency domain. By contrast, the RTO causes a linear phase rotation on samples in the frequency domain. Based on a differential demodulation of the FFT output, the IFO can be conventionally determined with robustness to frequency selective channel and RTO using the correlation function [2] expressed by

$$\hat{\epsilon} = \arg \max_{\epsilon} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} Y^*(k - 1) Y(k) X^*(k - \epsilon) X(k - 1 - \epsilon) \right|$$

where $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the complex conjugation, $\hat{\epsilon}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ are the estimated and trial values of $\epsilon$, respectively, $Y(k)$ and $X(k)$ denote the $k$th frequency symbol index of the received symbol and the known transmitted preamble, respectively, and the symbol size $N$ is equal to the FFT size.

The IFO can be estimated with high precision using cross correlation in the frequency domain, however, implementing this involves a significant hardware overhead, with a multiplier for each element. Sign-bit cross correlation [21] is a widely adopted approach to reducing correlation complexity using only the most significant bit (MSB) of signed numbers for the computation. Complexity is reduced at the cost of performance degradation. Even using such methods, cross correlation remains computationally expensive, especially when dealing with a large FFT size. It should be noted here that several IFO estimation methods have been published which claim robustness to frequency selective channels and RTO. However, published FPGA implementations of these methods are lacking to date, possibly because the hardware costs are considerable—even for sign-bit cross correlation.

III. PROPOSED IFO ESTIMATION METHOD

We save hardware resources and reduce power consumption by exploiting redundancy in the IFO computation to enable an efficient resource sharing folded architecture. Furthermore, adjusting the precision of individual correlation computations within this novel architecture allows a fine degree of control of the tradeoff between performance and power consumption. Thanks to the significant hardware cost reduction achieved, this IFO estimator can be feasibly implemented on a low-power, limited-resource FPGA, while simultaneously ensuring synchronization performance is maintained by adjusting the tradeoff between accuracy and hardware cost.

In [22], the authors investigated multiplierless correlation based on a conventional transpose form structure and similarly demonstrated a tradeoff between cost and accuracy for OFDM timing synchronization. The authors extended this in [20], to a method for OFDM timing synchronization plus FFO estimation. The synchronization method performed well with large CFO, however, IFO was neither estimated nor corrected. Note also that these previous papers performed computations in the time domain, before the FFT. However, in order to implement an OFDM system that can tolerate larger CFO, the IFO estimation needs to be implemented after the FFT, in the frequency domain. Thus, this paper develops an algorithm and architecture for efficient IFO estimation (in the frequency domain), achieving excellent performance at lower hardware cost and lower power than existing methods. It is applicable to 802.11, 802.22, and 802.16 (and potentially to other OFDM standards). Due to space limitations, we will present a single case study using the long preamble of IEEE 802.16-2009 [23].

A. Proposed Algorithm

First, we assume that the RF front end can provide the CFO stability in a range, $R_{CFO}$, from $-14$ to $18$ subcarrier spacings, which is greatly relaxed and compared with the strict RF front-end constraints in 802.16 that would typically
otherwise lead to increased RF hardware costs. Recall that in many practical implementations, the IFO estimation is avoided by restricting the CFO range to within that tolerated by the FFO estimator; typically \(-2\) to \(2\) subcarrier spacings. Section I already explained why it is important to tolerate a larger CFO range, as well as presenting several solutions from other authors. We take advantage, here, of the fact that the FFO estimation and correction are performed prior to the IFO estimation, as shown in Fig. 1. This results in a reduced set of possible IFO values, as will be explained below. Metric \(M\) in (3) is widely employed for FFO estimation in recent standard systems. It is computed on the short preamble, consisting of periodic durations with length \(D\) \[20\]

\[
M(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{D-1} \{x^*(n+m)x(d+m+D)\}
\]

\[
= e^{j2\pi z D/n} \sum_{m=0}^{D-1} |x(n+m)|^2
\]  
(3)  

where \(x(n)\) denotes the received signal with carrier frequency offset \(z\) with respect to \(x(n)\). The two parts of \(z\) are estimated based on the angle of \(M\) (\(\angle M\))

\[
z = \lambda + \hat{\epsilon} = \frac{\angle M + 2\pi z}{2\pi D/N}
\]  
(4)  

where \(z\) is an integer. The FFO is estimated as \(\hat{\lambda} = N\angle M/2\pi D\). The remaining part after FFO corrected is the IFO, denoted as \(\hat{\epsilon} = z\lambda/N\). \(\angle M\) is within the range \(-\pi\) to \(\pi\) and for many standards (including IEEE 802.11, 802.16, and 802.22), \(N/D = 4\). Hence, the FFO is estimated in the range \(-2\) to \(2\) subcarrier spacings, and the IFO can be expressed as \(\hat{\epsilon} = 4z \in R_{CFO}\). Hence, there are eight possible values for the IFO after correcting FFO, assuming the given CFO range. Possible IFO values are denoted as \(\Sigma_I = \{-12, -8, -4, 0, 4, 8, 12, 16\}\).

Referring to the OFDM symbol sequence in Fig. 2(a), if \(\hat{\epsilon} > 0\), data symbols are rotated left by a few places.
expressed as

\[
\hat{\epsilon} = \arg\max_{\epsilon \in S_{\text{IFO}}} |V_{\epsilon}|
\]

\[
V_{\epsilon} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_p/4} P(4k) A_1(\epsilon) + P(L + 4k) A_2(\epsilon)
\]

where \( V_{\epsilon} \) is the cross correlation between received pilots and prerotated known pilots and \( P(4k) = Y^*(4k - 2)Y(4k) \) denotes the correlation of two consecutive received pilots. Since the pilots of the long preamble are distributed on two sides of the OFDM symbol in the frequency domain, at even subcarrier spacings, \( L \) denotes the index of the first pilots in the second half. \( A_1(\epsilon)(k) = X^*(4k - 2 - \epsilon)X(4k - \epsilon) \) and \( A_2(\epsilon)(k) = X^*(L + 4k - 2 - \epsilon)X(L + 4k - \epsilon) \) denote the correlation of two consecutive prerotated known pilots of the first side and second side, respectively, of the preamble symbol corresponding to one IFO value (\( \epsilon \)). Let \( A_\epsilon \) be a known coefficient set as \( A_\epsilon = \{A_1(\epsilon), A_2(\epsilon)\} \). Let \( Si \) denote the set of used pilot indices for the proposed method (i.e., \( Si = \{4:4\times(N_p/2), (L:4\times N)\} \)), we present Algorithm 1 to concurrently compute the cross correlations, where the received pilots whose indices are in \( Si \) are employed to compute \( P_{4k} \).

Assuming that the data symbols are received from the FFT in sequence, the duration between the employed pilots is four clock cycles. For each value of \( \epsilon \) in \( S_{1,\text{IFO}} \) and \( S_{2,\text{IFO}} \), the corresponding \( V_{\epsilon} \) can be computed separately at every clock cycle using two multiply accumulate (MAC) blocks with the corresponding known coefficients. Hence, eight cross correlations can be computed in the duration between two employed pilots. Table 1 compares this in terms of time-area complexity to the conventional method implemented in a dedicated processor or using accelerated hardware. 

\[ \text{Algorithm 1 IFO Correlation Computation Algorithm} \]

\[
\text{Init: } k = 0; \ n = 0
\]

\[
\text{repeat}
\]

\[
\text{Every 4 cycles}
\]

\[
\text{if } 4k \in Si \text{ then}
\]

\[
\text{Calculate } P_{4k}
\]

\[
\text{for Each } \epsilon \in S_{1,\text{IFO}} = \{0, 4, 8, 12\} \text{ do}
\]

\[
V_{\epsilon} = P_{4k} A_\epsilon(n)
\]

\[
\text{end for}
\]

\[
\text{for Each } \epsilon \in S_{2,\text{IFO}} = \{16, 20, 24, 28\} \text{ do}
\]

\[
V_{\epsilon} = P_{4k} A_\epsilon(n)
\]

\[
\text{end for}
\]

\[
\text{end if}
\]

\[
k = k + 1
\]

\[
\text{until } k > N_p/2
\]

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Number of MACs</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Processor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( N_I \times N_p )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated Hardware</td>
<td>( N_I )</td>
<td>( N_p )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Algorithm</td>
<td>( N_I/D )</td>
<td>( D \times N_p/2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( N_I \) is the number of possible IFO values and \( D \) denotes the duration between two employed pilots (\( N_I = 8 \) and \( D = 4 \) for the 802.16 case study). The figures are specified using single-cycle MAC operations and clearly show the two common tradeoff points of maximum sequential operation and maximum parallelism, with the proposed method lying between the two: however, it uses \( D \) times less hardware than the latter, but completes in \( D/2 \) more cycles. Similarly, it uses \( N_I/D \) more MACs than the former but completes \( D/2N_I \) cycles quicker. Note that the factor of two improvement over a straight-line interpolation between the extreme tradeoffs. Moreover, the IFO estimation is computed in parallel with receiving the OFDM packet. It takes \( N \) (i.e., 256 for 802.16) cycles to receive the OFDM packet stream, whereas the IFO estimation takes \( D \times (N_p/2) \) (i.e., 200 for 802.16) cycles. The IFO estimation can be done immediately after the preamble packet is received and does not add latency to the OFDM packet stream. Section III-B will determine a method able to achieve this resource sharing for computing \( V_{\epsilon} \) as well as in storing the pilots.

In addition, we will use multiplierless correlation with adjustable wordlength to further expand the performance/complexity tradeoff. Although sign-bit cross correlation is often used in conventional implementations to reduce the computational complexity [4], [21], it leads to the reduced precision and hence the reduced estimation performance, especially in frequency selective channels. By contrast, the multiplierless correlation allows a fine degree of control to enhance accuracy over a sign-bit approach, while still allowing a reduction in complexity over a full calculation. In [22], the authors demonstrated a tradeoff between cost and accuracy for multiplierless correlation in the case of OFDM timing synchronization, based on a conventional transpose form structure. We now apply a similar approach to this new IFO frequency estimation architecture, exploring the performance, area, and power consumption effects of changing the wordlength used to represent \( P_{4k} \), in Section IV-B.

B. Proposed Architecture

Fig. 5(a) shows a conventional architecture for computing the IFO estimation, while Fig. 5(b) shows the proposed resource sharing architecture. Cross correlators compute the values of \( V_{\epsilon} \) while the ArgMax module finds the maximum of the \( V_{\epsilon} \) values in order to identify the corresponding IFO estimate. The conventional approach in Fig. 5(a) employs separate cross correlators to calculate each \( V_{\epsilon} \) result. This requires significant resources, including a large number of multipliers which may be not available on a limited-resource FPGA. The novel architecture comprises two parts.

1) Sharing Stored Pilot Memory: There are eight sets of \( A_\epsilon \) corresponding to eight possible IFOs. These sets of \( A_\epsilon \) are precomputed and stored separately in a dual-port register file. Thanks to the spreading of the computed pilots, the \( A_\epsilon \) sets have many identical pilots. This naturally allows sharing between the prerotated pilot sets. Therefore, the PilReg block requires only 64 shared memory locations for the eight sets instead of 400; an 84% reduction. Fig. 6 shows the \( A_\epsilon \) sets and circuitry for combining all \( A_\epsilon \) sets in the PilReg block.
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2) Sharing Correlation Resources: The proposed method divides IFO estimation into multiple repeated computations with resource sharing based upon the four-sample timing between selected spread pilots. The pilots that are used to compute the correlation arrive every four cycles so there are three spare cycles between two consecutive computed pilots, allowing one MAC block to be scheduled to sequentially compute four separate correlations. The MAC blocks are thus shared among four sequential $V_{\tau}$ computations over four successive clock periods. Fig. 7 demonstrates how this is achieved. $P_k$ is received every clock cycle. $P_{dk}$ is the subsampling of $P_k$, taking a subset of the MSBs from $P_k$ every four cycles to perform the cross correlation. Two MAC blocks, MAC1 and MAC2, are used to compute the values of eight cross correlations in parallel. Each multiplier performs multiplications sequentially between $P_{dk}$ and the corresponding transmitted pilots in four sets of $A_{2k}$. The products are accumulated to the values of $V_{\tau}$. The values of the correlation operations are stored separately in the corresponding buffers in the COR1, COR2 blocks. When the correlation computation is complete, the maximum operation, arg max $|V_{\tau}|$, is performed on eight $V_{\tau}$ values to estimate the IFO.

To obtain further resource savings, MAC1 and MAC2 are implemented using multiplierless techniques. $V_{\bar{\epsilon}}$ in (5) is mathematically manipulated into what is effectively a MAC form. When one received sample is received, $V_{\bar{\epsilon}}$ can be expressed as an accumulation

$$V_{\bar{\epsilon}} = A_{\bar{\epsilon}} P_{\epsilon k} + V_{\bar{\epsilon}}$$

$$= (\Re \{U\} - i \Im \{U\}) (\Re \{P_{\epsilon k}\} + i \Im \{P_{\epsilon k}\})$$

$$+ (\Re \{V_{\bar{\epsilon}}\} + i \Im \{V_{\bar{\epsilon}}\})$$

(6)

where $\Re \{\cdot\}$ and $\Im \{\cdot\}$ denote the real and imaginary parts, respectively. $A_{\bar{\epsilon}}$ is normalized to $U$ whose real and imaginary parts have values in $(-1, 0, 1)$, and the wordlength of $P_{\epsilon k}$ and $V_{\bar{\epsilon}}$ in fixed point format can be adjusted to tradeoff between estimation accuracy and hardware resource. The real and imaginary parts of $V_{\bar{\epsilon}}$ are computed as follows:

$$\Re \{V_{\bar{\epsilon}}\} = \Re \{U\} \Re \{P_{\epsilon k}\} + \Im \{U\} \Im \{P_{\epsilon k}\} + \Re \{V_{\bar{\epsilon}}\}$$

$$\Im \{V_{\bar{\epsilon}}\} = \Re \{U\} \Im \{P_{\epsilon k}\} - \Im \{U\} \Re \{P_{\epsilon k}\} + \Im \{V_{\bar{\epsilon}}\}.$$  

(7)

In Section IV, we will demonstrate through simulation on different channels that the algorithm and architecture optimizations mentioned above retain competitive estimation accuracy compared with the conventional approaches, while offering significant reductions in hardware resource. This makes it possible to implement a high-performance OFDM receiver on a low-power FPGA that has a limited number of digital signal processing (DSP) blocks.

IV. SIMULATION

Many variants of the proposed method were simulated in MATLAB using different channel models with the IEEE 802.16-2009 downlink preamble parameter set. Performance was compared with the theoretical performance of some state-of-the-art methods in terms of POFE with respect to channel SNR. The POFE [2], [3], [5] measures the number of failed estimations divided by the total number of IFO estimations. Overall, 100,000 IFO estimations were simulated in AWGN and Stanford University Interim [24] frequency selective channels. The IFO estimation is performed with nonideal FFO compensation, and the FFO is determined and compensated using the method of [4]. The simulation also verifies the performance of the proposed method under the effect of RTO caused by imperfect STO estimation (assuming that the STO estimation is still within the CP and does not
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search across a large potential CFO range. This results in larger in the time domain for STO estimation, plus an exhaustive STO and IFO estimator by performing a long cross correlation method in [4] employs sign-bit correlation to implement a joint

implementation would conventionally use sign-bit correlation. However, it must be noted that implementing this directly in a low cost, low power FPGA (unlike our implementation on a low cost, low power FPGA (unlike our proposed method). As a result, the authors are unaware of any published circuits for these methods.

A. Performance Comparison

The performance of the proposed method, denoted by Prop, is evaluated in comparison with the theoretical performance of state of the art methods by Park et al. [2], Shim and You [3] and Morelli and Moretti [5], denoted by PCH, SY, and MM, respectively, in Section IV. The theoretical performance is computed with full precision using a full pilot set (100 pilots). However, it must be noted that implementing this directly in hardware would be prohibitive due to the large number of high precision multiplication operations required. Instead, hardware implementation would conventionally use sign-bit correlation instead of full precision, as mentioned previously. Thus, the full multiplication results shown, here, are undoubtedly better than those achievable in practice, and should be considered as upper theoretical bounds. For more realistic comparisons, we provide results from sign-bit correlation versions of each, denoted by PCH\textsubscript{sb}, SY\textsubscript{sb}, and MM\textsubscript{sb}, respectively. The method in [4] employs sign-bit correlation to implement a joint STO and IFO estimator by performing a long cross correlation in the time domain for STO estimation, plus an exhaustive search across a large potential CFO range. This results in larger hardware usage compared with the frequency domain methods of [2], [3], and [5], and is not, therefore, not included in the comparison.

The proposed method uses 50 spread pilots with indices that are multiples of 4. For the sake of fair comparison, we include an additional implementation of PCH which uses 50 pilots like the proposed method, spaced continuously. This is denoted by PCH\textsubscript{50}. Fig. 8 plots performance results for all methods in an AWGN channel with RTO and reveals that the proposed method generally performs well, especially at higher SNRs. A more realistic SUI1 channel model is used for Fig. 9, again reveals good performance, particularly at positive SNRs (performance is similarly good in SUI2 which is not plotted for space reasons).

Under these experimental conditions, PCH and SY achieve equivalent performance in AWGN without RTO and in the SUI1 channel. In general, SY appears to be very sensitive to large RTO, while MM and PCH exhibit better robustness to RTO. The accuracy of MM is slightly lower than that of the PCH at SNRs < 0 dB, while performance is very similar at larger SNRs. Also note the performance of the conventional approach implementations, PCH\textsubscript{sb}, SY\textsubscript{sb}, and MM\textsubscript{sb}, which degrade significantly with SNR, especially in the SUI channels. Recall that these represent the typical implementation of estimators, with expensive multipliers replaced by sign-bit correlation.

Apart from at very low SNRs, the proposed method, Prop, achieves almost identical performance to the simulated upper bound PCH, even in the presence of RTO. It should be noted that Prop achieves this while allowing the use of resource sharing through sparse pilot computation, achieving a significant hardware saving. The results also show that Prop, with its spread pilots, is more accurate than PCH\textsubscript{50} using the same number of pilots spread continuously.
B. Wordlength Optimization

Since sign-bit correlation degrades the IFO estimation performance, especially in frequency selective channels, we instead explore the use of different word length multiplierless correlation to tradeoff between hardware resource and estimation performance. We again compared against the theoretical bound, PCH, and against a conventional sign-bit implementation, PCH\_sb. Wordlength is specified using the notation Q1.f, meaning a single integer bit and f fractional bits. Evaluations are performed for f = {1, 2, 7, and 15} bits with results plotted using the labels Prop\_1b, Prop\_2b, Prop\_7b, and Prop\_15b, respectively. Fig. 10 plots the performance in AWGN with RTO for all tested wordlengths. The proposed method performs comparably with PCH (and is better than PCH\_sb at SNRs exceeding \(\sim 2\) dB). Fig. 11 shows the results when using the more realistic SUI1 channel models. Performance in SUI2 is similar, but not reproduced for space reasons.

It can be seen that each of the tested wordlengths achieves much better performance and exhibits greater robustness to frequency selective channels than the sign-bit conventional realization, PCH\_sb. In addition, these realizations of the proposed method do not suffer as much degradation in the presence of RTO. Moreover, it is possible to improve low SNR performance by adopting a longer wordlength with the proposed method, at a cost of increased hardware complexity. Increasing wordlength yields decreasing returns: moving from 1 to 2 bits yields a significant gain whereas increasing from 2 to 7 or from 7 to 15 bits has less impact. In general, Prop\_2b achieves an estimation accuracy close to that of the theoretical performance bound, PCH, at intermediate and higher SNRs, even though it involves computation with fewer bits, and can hence be implemented more efficiently.

V. FPGA IMPLEMENTATION

The analysis in Section IV suggests that the proposed method offers comparable estimation performance to existing methods in the literature. As a result of the simplifications inherent in the proposed approach, this should be achievable at a reduced hardware cost. This section now quantifies this hardware cost for an FPGA-based implementation. It is important to note that these hardware savings are accessible for a number of target implementation devices, although we are interested primarily in the FPGA implementation as part of our work on leveraging FPGA reconfigurability for cognitive radios.

A. Conventional Approach

To obtain the theoretical performance previously discussed in Section IV and denoted as PCH, the computation of the estimation metric in (2), using 100 pilots, would require about 200 complex multipliers, resulting in the use of over 600 DSP blocks. This exceeds the available resources on small devices, and would leave insufficient resources for other tasks on larger devices. As the number of multiplications required for a full implementation is prohibitive, the conventional solution, as we have discussed, is to adopt sign-bit correlation [4]. Thus, the conventional implementation uses all 100 pilots in the long preamble and sign-bit correlation, with multiply_adds eliminated at taps where the pilots of the long preamble are zero or not used. This implementation mirrors the PCH\_sb in Section IV, and allows us to quantify the benefits of our proposed approach against a known reference benchmark.

B. Proposed Approach

The proposed architecture implemented with several different wordlengths of \(P_{th}\) and \(V_e\) in (6) are compared, to allow us to explore the hardware costs associated with the respective implementations. Four-fixed point formats for \(P_{th}\) are investigated: 1) Q1.1; 2) Q1.2; 3) Q1.7; and 4) Q1.15. \(V_e\) is represented correspondingly in formats of Q7.1, Q7.2, Q7.7, and Q7.15 to avoid overflow. In order to obtain a comprehensive optimized implementation, each of these circuits are implemented using two different structures. The first uses only logic elements (LEs) for computation, while the second uses Xilinx DSP48A1 [25] primitives. Considering (7), \(\Re\{V_e\}\) and \(\Im\{V_e\}\) can be computed effectively using two DSP blocks as 3-input adders, instead of four blocks as would be usual. Fig. 12 shows how this is done for \(\Re\{V_e\}\) and \(\Im\{V_e\}\) is similar. Note that the solution presented in Fig. 12 is optimized for QPSK modulated pilots (since their amplitudes are identical) as specified in IEEE 802.16,
three DSP blocks to compute $P_{\text{th}}$, three-input additions. The Prop fb LE uses fewer LUTs, since the DSP blocks are used for the conv_100p sb implementation. For example, Prop_2b LE consumes just 22% of the power required for conv_100p sb.

The maximum circuit frequencies, reported after place and route, are 142, 136, and 78 MHz for the conventional sign bit-based and proposed LE- and the proposed DSP-based circuits, respectively, comfortably exceed the timing requirements for most OFDM-based systems, particularly for 802.16 whose sampling frequency is ~25 MHz.

A postplace-and-route simulation was used to estimate the power consumption of the system at a clock rate of 50 MHz using the Xilinx XPower tool—also shown in Table II—revealing that Prop fb LE implementations consume less power than the equivalent Prop fb DSP implementations. All implementations of the proposed method consume significantly less power than the conventional implementation. For example, Prop_2b LE consumes just 22% of the power required for conv_100p sb.

Section IV established that Prop_2b LE easily outperforms the conventional approach in terms of estimation accuracy. Now, we can see that it does so with a significant hardware resource saving, as well as significantly reduced power consumption. In fact, the estimation performance of Prop_2b_LE, in AWGN and SUI channels (except at very low SNR), is close to the theoretical bound of PCH, which would demand a significant amount of the FPGAs resources if it were implemented conventionally. Meanwhile, Prop_2b_LE is extremely efficient, consuming <1% of the resources available on a low-power Spartan-6 XC6SLX75 FPGA.

### VI. Conclusion

This paper has investigated IFO estimation in OFDM-based systems such as IEEE 802.16. A technique is proposed for efficient implementation of IFO estimation, which aims in particular for a low-power and low-resource utilization. Since IFO estimation can contribute significantly to the complexity of a robust OFDM synchronizer design, this paper is important for multistandard radios, as well as applications such as mobile radios where significant frequency variation is expected. Robust IFO estimation can also allow for a relaxation of analog RF constraints at the radio front end, potentially leading to a reduced cost implementation.

A novel timing algorithm was proposed that is allied with pilot subsampling to enable a fourfold resource sharing architecture to reduce both hardware complexity as well as power consumption. Meanwhile, the multiplierless correlation with optimized wordlengths is explored to improve estimation accuracy over a conventional implementation using sign-bit correlation. The proposed algorithm and architecture have been evaluated theoretically, in simulation (to determine system-level IFO estimation performance), through synthesis and postplace-and-route implementation (to determine detailed resource utilization and power consumption figures). The proposed method estimation performance matches current state-of-the-art methods that employ multiplier-based correlation, yet achieves a significant reduction in hardware requirements. Dynamic power consumption of the proposed method is reduced by 78% over even an efficient sign-bit
version of the conventional approach, yet offers much better estimation performance in both AWGN and frequency selective channels.

Beyond IEEE 802.16-2009, the folded resource sharing method presented in this paper, which leverages subsampled OFDM pilots and adjustable word length multiplexers correlation, is compatible with other OFDM standards including IEEE 802.11 and IEEE 802.22.
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